

Heber City Corporation  
City Council Meeting  
January 18, 2007

7:00 p.m.

REGULAR MEETING

The Council of Heber City, Wasatch County, Utah, met in **Regular Meeting** on January 18, 2007, in the City Council Chambers in Heber City, Utah.

Present:	Mayor	David R. Phillips
	Council Members	Terry Wm. Lange Vaun Shelton Jeffery Bradshaw Elizabeth Hokanson
Excused:		Shari Lazenby
Also Present:	City Manager	Mark K. Anderson
	City Recorder	Paulette Thurber
	City Engineer	Bart Mumford
	City Planner	Allen Fawcett
	Police Department	Sgt. Jason Bradley

Others Present: Devin McKrola, Sheila Johnston, Mike Johnston, Robert Wren, Nelson Carter, Kolton Kellogg, Austen Cloward, Dustin Potter, Will Hyde, Jacob Clyde, Kasey Stevens, Colton Cieslak, Kyler Cook, Ryan Cook, Bill Hyde, Brenden Hyde, Russell Cloward, Annie Bruehl, Cary Hobbs, Sandy Mahoney, Larry Mahoney, Dave Kennamer, Tim Tillotson, Tonia Webb, Tom Andra, Brandon Fisher, Pat Kohler, Rudi Kohler, John Gifford, Jamin Cannon, Larry Hartley, Irene Hastings, Doug Heiner, Kathy Day, Bruce Zollinger, Brian Balls, Spencer Withers, Todd Cates and others whose names were not legible.

Pledge of Allegiance: Mayor David Phillips  
Prayer: Councilmember Elizabeth Hokanson

Mayor Phillips asked the Scouts in attendance to introduce themselves and give their rank. It was indicated they were from Troup 223 of the Heber 7<sup>th</sup> Ward and they were really excited to be in attendance.

Minutes: January 4, 2007, Work Meeting Minutes  
January 4, 2007, Regular Meeting Minutes  
November 21, 2006, Special Meeting Minutes

Councilmember Hokanson moved to approve the January 4, 2007, Work Meeting and Regular Meeting Minutes, and the November 21, 2006, Special Meeting Minutes. Councilmember Bradshaw made the second. The voting was unanimous in the affirmative. Councilmember Lazenby was excused.

## OPEN PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Mayor Phillips invited anyone that wanted to speak to the Council on issues not already on the agenda to do so.

Nelson Carter – 5191 Lake Creek Road. Carter indicated he had prepared a short written statement and then passed it out to the Council. He indicated he had recently been before the Planning Commission in regard to his subdivision and there was discussion about widening Center Street. He said he would be before the Planning Commission again on February 8 to discuss his 4-lot subdivision and needed guidance from the Council. He read from the document he had passed out.

Mumford corrected Carter by saying the proposed road width would be 82' instead of 81'. He said, too, that he did not think the City was ready tonight to respond to Carter's request for direction he (Carter) could take back to the Planning Commission in regard to widening Center Street. Anderson said the Council should consider, as they look at and approve the proposed subdivision, that the engineer wanted to properly set the curb line so the City didn't have to come back later to do that. He asked if the Council was comfortable with the current right-of-way or should this subdivision set the line for other subdivision in the future. "How forward thinking do you want to be?" he asked. Anderson explained the City would be looking at some costs to acquire the property. He explained changing the road width could possibly lower the value to the existing home because of a set back that was not at standard. Discussion about the exact location. Councilmember Bradshaw reviewed that all along Center Street land had been annexed into the City from the County. He felt the City should look at this before Carter finished his subdivision so it could be done right in the beginning instead of after the fact. Anderson indicated the City had an 82' right-of-way to 500 East but east of 500 East the right-of-way was different. He said in Timp Meadows the right-of-way was 72'. Anderson said Mumford was looking forward to what would be needed in the future. Mumford explained that Carter would be held up until the Planning Commission got feed back from the Council.

## APPOINTMENTS

### **Bill Sandoval – Requesting to reserve the Main Street Park for Saturday, May 26**

**(Memorial Day Weekend) – Happy Days Celebration:** Anderson indicated this was more than a car show—it was a community celebration. Anderson indicated the date was available if the Council wanted to approve reserving the park on this date for the event. Councilmember Lange suggested that Sandoval be made aware of the park reservation rules and if he wanted to reserve the park to allow it. Councilmember Hokanson made the second. The voting was unanimous in the affirmative. Councilmember Lazenby was excused.

### **Jon Fullmer/John Gifford – Request to amend the Heber City Municipal Code, 15.08.030 relating to the criteria for issuance of a Building Permit:**

John Gifford represented the Jon Fullmer Construction Company. He indicated he was also a homeowner with a lot in the subdivision. He passed out materials to the Council. Gifford explained they were in the final phase of the subdivision and that, according to the Code, without sidewalks, they were not able to get building permits for some of the lots. He indicated they had tried to pour sidewalk, but Horrocks Engineers stopped them because the ground was too wet. Consequently, they were requesting they be able to get permits and install the sidewalks when weather permitted. He

discussed the provision in the Code that allowed permits for lots that were adjacent to existing streets; however, there were three lots that were not adjacent to an existing street. He proposed posting an additional cash bond in the amount of 115% with the understanding that no occupancy permits be issued until infrastructure was complete. Gifford then asked a couple other home owners to speak.

Spencer Withers indicated he owned lot 4. He said he had taken out a construction loan to build and the clock was ticking. He said they wanted to move forward so they didn't get in trouble with the bank, have extra interest, etc. Withers indicated he was hoping to get the home built and moved into as soon as possible.

Jamin Cannon indicated he was the owner of lot 5. He indicated he was anxious to move up here as he had been looking for a lot for ten years. He said his family lived in Heber. He indicated he had had his construction loan for two months now and he was anxious to get moving on this.

Anderson said this was an ordinance the Council had amended in the past five years. He talked about the lot in Timp Meadows that had a home ready to occupy without the roads even being paved. He said that each amendment to this part of the Code seemed to make the regulations more restrictive. He suggested this regulation had been effective in making sure the subdivider got the improvements in.

Councilmember Lange said the last big issue with this regulation was the Amber Rose Subdivision. He said that subdivision was mud for weeks but in the end, they had a better product because they waited. He felt the Council should "hold to our guns." Councilmember Bradshaw said the house he lived in was the first house south of 600 South. He discussed his experience with that and said it was a hardship for them because they paid two mortgages for a few months. He said the regulation was necessary to protect the citizens and the City and would like to have not been caught in the middle of it a number of years ago. Gifford said another proposal would be substantial completion of the subdivision could allow building permits to be obtained. Another thought was they were holding the warranty on the subdivision after completion and they felt they could provide a better product to the City if the concrete could be poured when the weather wasn't a factor. Councilmember Bradshaw discussed the fact that the same issues applied to foundations and footings. Gifford indicated digging deeper than the frost with foundations was different than sidewalk on the ground level.

Mayor Phillips indicated the City had once had an ordinance about substantial completion and it hadn't worked. He asked if the developer told the lot purchasers up front of the rules. Gifford said they did make them aware of them. He suggested planning on their part or the part of the excavator had caused scheduling issues.

Councilmember Hokanson asked when the subdivision was approved. Mumford said they started last summer. She did not understand why it wasn't done. She said she was understanding but felt changing the Code would open up a can of worms. Councilmember Shelton asked if this was the same company that left the pressurized irrigation off over the weekend. Gifford indicated it was the excavator. Councilmember Shelton suggested then, that it was their agent.

Councilmember Shelton said he did not think it was in the best interest of the City to change the Code and moved to deny the request. Councilmember Lange made the second. The voting was unanimous in the affirmative. Councilmember Lazenby was excused.

Councilmember Lange tried to explain the position of the Council and said the Council was trying to protect the developer as well as the City.

**Brian Balls/Ryan Fenton – Andra Annexation Petition – 18.66 acres of land located at approximately 1800 North along Highway 40:** A map was presented and an overhead was shown of the parcel. It was indicated at the last City Council meeting the Hutchinson property annexation petition was presented and this property was directly south of that.

Councilmember Hokanson moved to send the Andra Annexation petition to the Planning Commission for further study. The motion died for lack of a second.

Councilmember Shelton wondered about water and sewer utilities. Balls said they had had discussions with Dan Matthews. He said this was as far south as the North Village Special Service District could effectively service. He said Matthews was favorable to putting this before the Special Service District and felt that was the most feasible option. He said the Lloyd piece would not work with the Special Service District without pumping.

Councilmember Lange moved to deny the Andra Annexation petition at this time because the Council needed to look at the services and pumping issues. Balls discussed the required services of some of the other pending annexations in the area. He said since the Hutchinson already had lines running through their property, he felt it made sense to bring the Andra property in. Councilmember Lange wanted to know about the Bassett Annexation. As there was a motion on the floor, Mayor Phillips suggested discussion on the motion be delayed until a second was obtained. Councilmember Shelton made the second. Fawcett indicated Planning Staff had a good discussion in November with the Bassett people. He said that the IBI Group, a consulting firm, had been retained to assist in that annexation. He also said the Planning Commission had said they were favorable for future discussion on that annexation and development. He said in the last week IBI met with Planning Staff and he now had a detailed time line for that annexation. He felt they were making good progress. Fawcett pointed out the Bassett Annexation was not under the one-year provision that was in the Code now. Mayor Phillips asked if the Planning Commission would be in a better position to evaluate the Hutchinson petition and this one at the same time. Fawcett said it would be helpful. He said they were looking at a revision to the Future Land Use Plan and the objective was to look at this area. It was indicated the Bassett property was already in the Planned Community Zone. Anderson felt that if the Council wanted to see this developed in Heber City, it would be better to have both groups working together especially on the frontage road. He continued that if the City denied this, they would most likely go to the North Village. Mayor Phillips reminded the City Council that they could make changes when a recommendation comes back from the Planning Commission. Councilmember Lange wondered if the City was moving to look like Roosevelt and continued talking about commercial along Highway 40. He said, though, he agreed with Fawcett and Anderson's comments. Mayor Phillips called for a vote on the motion. Voting AYE to deny: Terry Wm. Lange and Vaun Shelton. Voting NAY to deny: Elizabeth Hokanson and Jeffery Bradshaw. To break the tie Mayor Phillips voted nay to deny.

Mayor Phillips asked Fawcett how this tied in with the Hutchinson annexation petition. He wanted Fawcett to try and give the City Council a picture of where the City was going or ought to be going. Fawcett said these parcels were on the Land Use Map and were consistent with the Master Plan Map and the General Plan. He said looking at them together facilitated good planning and negotiating. He indicated there was discussion about a road system in the area and it would be helpful to have all parties involved in that. Fawcett said that generally speaking, he

felt it should be considered and it met state statutes. It was discussed that when these petitions were accepted and sent to the Planning Commission, they were not a slam dunk and it did not mean they would get a rubber stamp. It was indicated the thought process of the Planning Commission helped the City Council make good decisions. Fawcett felt considering water and sewer services was important but that the Special Service District was already involved with Red Ledges. He said again he thought it would be helpful to have both developments looked at together. Councilmember Lange said he was nervous with all the annexations that were coming before the Council. He felt the City Council needed to work with the County and see what their ideas were on bypass, open space and conservation. He said it was just recently the entities had been talking and working together and more time needed to be devoted to that. Mayor Phillips reviewed the options open to the Council-another motion, table until there was more discussion on water and sewer, refer to the Planning Commission for study. Anderson said statutorily the City had to either accept or reject the petition tonight. Balls said they had already talked with Twin Creeks about services; in light of the Hutchinson petition moving forward, he would ask for the opportunity for them to collaborate together and that they were in favor of a corridor road that would parallel the highway as was Hutchinson. He stated again they would like to work with the Hutchinson people and collaborate with them by keeping traffic off the main highway. Anderson read from State Code, 10.02.405 which outlined the petition had to be accepted or rejected at the meeting in which it was presented.

Councilmember Bradshaw moved to accept the Andra Petition and send to the Planning Commission for further study. Councilmember Hokanson made the second. Voting AYE to accept: Jeffery Bradshaw and Elizabeth Hokanson. Voting NAY to accept: Terry Wm. Lange and Vaun Shelton. To break the tie, Mayor Phillips moved to accept the petition. The motion passed.

#### ACTION ITEMS

**Ordinance 2007-03 – An Ordinance amending Section 13.32.205 of the Heber City Municipal Code, Mandatory requirement to pay for Secondary Irrigation Service:** Mayor Phillips reviewed the ordinance as presented. Anderson gave nine examples and comparisons of savings or additional cost for people that were already connected and people that were not. He said as the rates were such that there was not a big economic incentive to connect. Mayor Phillips indicated the City was moving forward as fast as possible with secondary irrigation. He questioned if there should be rate discussion now or wait until more people were connected. Anderson said the challenge was reducing rates to make it more attractive which also made the City lose revenue. He said there were 2000 or so connections in the core of town that didn't have access to secondary. It was suggested if the City raised rates, it was not fair for those that couldn't hook on. Mayor Phillips reviewed previous discussion and history about what was fair. Anderson suggested until everyone was on the system, there would be winners and losers. Councilmember Shelton said he had discussed this issue with Devin McKrola of the CUP. He asked McKrola to discuss the issue.

McKrola said there were, with rates, differences in what it cost to operator the system versus what people paid for the system. He understood there were some adjustments to rates a year or so ago and that the revenue issue had to be considered. Anderson said only the culinary rates were increased. He said it was to give more financial incentive to people to hook on that had it available. Mayor said it also was part of the water conservation plan. McKrola agreed it was a benefit to conserve water. He said each home that converted to secondary irrigation greatly reduced the amount of water from treated sources. McKrola said that each home that converted

from culinary to secondary would allow the culinary system to provide for at least one additional and potentially two new homes. (culinary use and fire protection) He said each connection to secondary greatly reduced the amount of tank storage they would use as well as the amount of overall water they would draw from developed sources. Mayor Phillips indicated that was a significant statement. McKrola talked about the peak time usage and flow rates and said that homes that didn't install secondary still had the impact to the culinary system and potentially should pay more for that service. He recommended that residents could take advantage of lower rates with secondary water. He suggested the secondary system should pay for itself and the culinary system should pay for itself. McKrola talked about storage in the reservoirs and the potential or not of drilling more wells. Councilmember Bradshaw asked if secondary was clean enough to not clog and cause problems. Anderson said the City had not had any problems with that. Councilmember Shelton said he understood the County rates had changed. McKrola said that in some locations in the County that do not have repayment of infrastructure, the rate was around \$25 a year. He indicated in the Lake Creek area there were some repayment rates and some of them were up to \$65 an acre lot per year. It was indicated the City rates were much higher. Discussion about those costs and rates. Councilmember Shelton discussed that when the City took a share of water and it went through the state engineer to transfer it to come out of a well, the City lost half the value of that share. McKrola said in future applications, they would make some changes as to how those were filed. Councilmember Shelton talked about the significance of that loss and said the City needed to have as many people on secondary water as possible. Anderson suggested when the Council looked at the rate structure, they needed to look at what it cost to deliver per connection versus size of lot--it would increase the base rate on smaller lots and reduce rates on larger lots.

Larry Mahoney commented that those that used ditch water, needed the same consideration as those that used secondary.

Mayor Phillips indicated the Council needed to talk about rate changes during the retreat and possibly talk about putting a committee of experts together to give the Council the benefit of their expertise.

Councilmember Lange wanted to table this issue until next meeting. Councilmember Bradshaw made the second. No further discussion. Voting AYE: Terry Wm. Lange, Vaun Shelton, Jeff Bradshaw and Elizabeth Hokanson. Councilmember Lazenby was excused.

At 8:30 p.m. a 5-minute break was taken.

**Ordinance 2007-04 - An Ordinance adopting Chapter 18.42 to establish a Mixed-Use Residential Commercial Zone (MURCZ):** Anderson indicated that just prior to the meeting a new version with amendments was printed. Those were provided to the Council.

Councilmember Lange moved to postpone this issue until next meeting because the Council did not have time to review and study the revisions. Councilmember Shelton made the second. Councilmember Hokanson said she went through the version that was included in the packet with a fine-tooth comb. She said there were a lot of corrections and that the Planning Commission had not done their job. Mayor Phillips indicated if this was going to be sent back to the Planning Commission, the Council needed to give them an idea of what they wanted. Councilmember Bradshaw wanted to read over the changes before sending it back to the Planning Commission. It was suggested this be continued for two weeks and then, if necessary,

send back to the Planning Commission. Voting AYE: Terry Wm. Lange, Vaun Shelton, Jeff Bradshaw and Elizabeth Hokanson. Councilmember Lazenby was absent.

That being done, Mayor Phillips indicated he would give an opportunity for those in the audience to speak relative to the proposed ordinance since there were some in the audience that were attending the meeting for that purpose.

Sandy Mahoney-Mahoney indicated she had concerns with the errors, also. She said the result of an overlay took away from the ordinance that was previously adopted. She said it changed the fundamental Main Street and the bypass. She indicated she moved here for the home-town feel and a tight-knit community. She wanted that preserved. She felt that as a business owner on Main Street, the Council owed a responsibility to them first before someone moving in. She felt the businesses on Main Street would diminish if a big box came into town. She again said the proposed ordinance needed some technical changes and some fundamental changes.

Robert Wren-Wren indicated he was a Heber City business owner and property owner. He wanted clarification on the motion—was it going back to Planning Commission or not. Anderson said he would provide Planning Commission with the revised version and try and get their comments before the next City Council meeting. Wren complained that the noticing did not tell what the issue before the Council really was. He then referred to and quoted from minutes of a meeting on big box in 2005. Wren discussed portions of the Heber City Municipal Code, 18.25.050, 040, 030 and indicated the proposed overlay did nothing but circumvent what was approved in 2005. He reviewed the revenue tax that would come to Heber as detailed in the meeting of 2005 and felt it was minuscule compared to the consequences. He talked about Main Street and that it was underutilized. He suggested the bypass road would be hard to put in if this development was built. He encouraged the Council to read the survey and see what the people really wanted. Councilmember Bradshaw asked Wren his opinion on if the City turned down a big box, if the County would turn it down, as well. He also asked Wren if the County got a big box if Wren thought it would hurt the City. Wren responded by saying the community needed a regional planning department. He said he would encourage revenue sharing, too. Wren continued that he did not think the people wanted a big box. He said it would change Main Street and Main Street was where businesses should be. Councilmember Bradshaw said the same survey indicated people would support a big box. Wren did not think \$300,000, which was what the survey said the City would realize in revenue, was worth a big box. He said the City needed a walkable Main Street. Councilmember Bradshaw said he knew a country goods store would not make it on Main Street as they couldn't compete. Councilmember Hokanson agreed. Discussion about the Kings Store in town. Councilmember Hokanson discussed the revenue sharing concept. She explained it was for regional planning so there wasn't competition among the communities. Mayor Phillips indicated there was no guarantee that revenue sharing would guarantee the regional retail to be in one place.

Mayor Phillips indicated he had received e-mail messages from Bryon Day and Alan Day expressing their disapproval of a big box store.

Cathy Day-Day indicated the brothers could not be in attendance so she represented them. She agreed that as stated previously, and by looking at the notice, it did not tell the real issue. Day agreed with Councilmember Hokanson about the availability of certain products in the community, "but despite that, you were elected to represent the people," she said. She said she couldn't understand that after the effort a year ago and it being clear that people did not want a big box, why it was being discussed now. "If you are representing the people and the people

have said no, why are you now discussing this?" She indicated her daughter worked for Tooele County and every day she drove from Provo there and she sees what has happened to Tooele with a dead Main Street. She did not want that to happen to Heber.

Dave Kennemer-Kennemer indicated he had a letter in the Wasatch Wave this week. He said he was generally in favor of Wal-Mart. However, what they do to a town like Heber wasn't good. He thought all businesses on Main Street would go under because of Wal-Mart. He said Wal-Mart was a wonderful company, but what they do to a main street is devastating. He said the consequences of a Wal-Mart would last for years. He said it was a big change for a community and the Council had the option of putting this issue on a ballot as a referendum.

Rudi Kohler-Kohler indicated that most of what he wanted to say had been said. He talked about the economic benefit to the community and said the cost that goes along with that had to be deducted. He talked about the cost of more police and public works personnel. He said that fact was not mentioned in the big box survey. He talked about employment in the community and said big box would require 350 people and they would be making minimum wage. He indicated that would not provide much tax. He said that if employees brought in 60 school age children and any gain from big box would be wiped out by the cost of educating those children. He said he did not think a big box would do for this community what Day's Grocery Store did. He discussed that the 350 people they employed would not have insurance and someone was going to have to pay for their insurance care. "Do we want to be a Wal-Mart town?" he asked. He said it would represent the death of down town. He said the Council needed to question who their allegiance was to. Kohler said he was despaired by the fact not more people were in attendance. "Unfortunately the web site is down and the title of the ordinance does not relate to big box." He thought it was misleading. He asked the Council what they wanted to do for the future. "Do we want to look like a Sandy or another Wasatch city." He said taxes didn't go down because of big box. Preserving a home-town feel was what he wanted and asked the Council to consider that when considering the ordinance.

Larry Mahoney-Mahoney indicated that whatever the City did should not be decided by what the County did. He said that was manipulation. He said rural to him was when you live in a neighborhood and a car pulls up you know if it should be there. If Wal-Mart located in Heber, it did not mean that would be lost. He resented, though, that if the money was big enough, the Code could be changed. But if Joe Blow wanted something, they had to abide by the rules. Mahoney felt this development would "mess up" the bypass road. "Why not go on the east side with the bypass and have the developers that are impacting that area bare the cost?" he questioned. He talked how the Days Grocery business was wonderful to the community and that when a business was locally owned, there was more support to the community. "If families own and live here, the money stays in the community," he said. He felt Wal-Mart would send money out of the community.

Tim Tillitson-Tillitson indicated he lived 60 feet or so from the Boyer property and would be impacted by the proposed development. However, he said he was a realist and the question was not if, but when. He thought the plan was better now than in the past. Overall, he thought there were a lot of things that could be done to mitigate the problems. He talked about a small tax increase and what it would do for the City. He talked about the cost of gas in driving to other communities to shop. Tillitson indicated he had given some proposals to Anderson about the web site so that it could be better for the community. He talked about zoning and enforcement and indicated the importance of enforcement from the beginning. He indicated he would buy from Wal-Mart if it located here because there wasn't anywhere on Main Street to purchase everything

his family needed and then Heber City would get the sales tax instead of another community. He suggested there were other businesses that could do well in Heber, as well. Tillitson said that in looking at the ordinance, he liked some of the restriction—noise, lighting. Again he stressed the importance of enforcement.

Mike Johnston-Johnston said he knew it was a hard thing to sit up there and make decisions. He said it was also a hard thing to stand there amongst friends and people he respected and say something contrary to what they felt. Johnston said the \$37,000,000 figure Wren talked about was a leakage figure--that was what the City was losing. He said his understanding of the ordinance of 2005 and the CAP was a way to put the City in control, as they were vulnerable. He said he was on the big box committee and discussion was quite heated, at times. He said the CAP was a way to control the vulnerability of the City. It was something put into place to allow the City to work on future ordinances. Johnston indicated his business was ½ block off of Main Street so he considered himself a Main Street business. He pointed out the kind of businesses he saw on Main Street were service and professional businesses, but no retail. He talked about the businesses lost on Main Street this last year. “We are losing businesses every year on Main Street and its not because Wal-Mart is here. It is because retail has changed in this country and it is going to continue to change,” he said. He continued that even Wal-Mart was going to get a “run for their money” because of the internet. He said Heber City had to be proactive in maintaining their position as being the center of economic development. “If we don’t allow bigger retail, we won’t get any retail,” he said. Johnston indicated if Wal-Mart did come, he probably wouldn’t shop there because he hated big parking, etc. He said he would still shop where he was given service.

Mayor Phillips and the Council indicated their appreciation for the input given.

**Ordinance 2007-05 – An Ordinance adopting changes to the Heber City Municipal Code –**

**Chapter 10.16 Parking:** Councilmember Lange moved that proposed ordinance 2007-05, an Ordinance adopting changes to the Heber City Municipal Code – Chapter 10.16 Parking, be tabled as he hadn’t had time to read it thoroughly. Councilmember Hokanson made the second. Councilmember Lange suggested, too, the ordinance could be made simpler.

Sgt. Bradley suggested if the Council looked at the original Code and compared it with the changes, they would see that the major changes were on how parking citations were handled. He said the proposed changes to the Code were based on successes other communities had had with handing parking issues as civil violations instead of through the court/criminal system. He said snow removal requirements were also a major issue. Sgt. Bradley said the civil system was something Chief Rhoades was interested in. Anderson said snow removal issues were the reason this Code was looked at initially.

The voting on the motion was unanimous in the affirmative. Councilmember Lazenby was absent.

**Resolution 2007-02 - A Resolution showing support for a School Impact Fee:**

Councilmember Hokanson moved to approve Resolution 2007-02, a Resolution in support of imposing a School Impact Fee. Councilmember Bradshaw made the second. Voting AYE: Terry Wm. Lange, Vaun Shelton, Jeff Bradshaw, and Elizabeth Hokanson. Councilmember Lazenby was absent.

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

**Award Bid – Specialized Sewer Lateral and Main Cleaning and Videoing Service Vehicle:**

Councilmember Lange discussed foreign products in a sewer line. Councilmember Shelton said any time a sewer line was open there was potential for foreign materials getting in the line. Anderson said this particular vehicle cleaned the lines as well as videoed.

Councilmember Lange moved to approve and award the bid for a specialized sewer lateral and main cleaning and videoing service vehicle to PipeHunter. Councilmember Shelton made the second. The voting was unanimous in the affirmative. Councilmember Lazenby was excused.

**Mayor Appointment – City Councilmember to serve on the Personnel Policy Committee:**

Mayor Phillips presented the names of Councilmember Shelton and Councilmember Hokanson to serve on the Personnel Policy Committee.

Councilmember Bradshaw moved to approve the recommendation of Mayor Phillips that Councilmember Shelton and Councilmember Hokanson serve on the Heber City Personnel Policy Committee. Councilmember Hokanson made the second. The voting was unanimous in the affirmation. Councilmember Lazenby was excused.

**Mayor Appointment – City Councilmember to serve on the Grievance Committee:** Mayor Phillips presented the names of Councilmember Lazenby and Councilmember Lange, with Councilmember Bradshaw as an alternate, to serve on the Grievance Committee.

Councilmember Bradshaw moved to approve the recommendation of Mayor Phillips that Councilmember Lazenby and Councilmember Lange serve on the Heber City Grievance Committee with Councilmember Bradshaw as an alternate member. Councilmember Hokanson made the second. The voting was unanimous in the affirmation. Councilmember Lazenby was excused.

CITY COUNCIL BOARD REPORTS

Heber City Planning Commission – 2<sup>nd</sup> Thursday –**Bradshaw**

Heber Valley Special Service District – 3<sup>rd</sup> Wednesday – **Phillips, Shelton, Hokanson**

Historic Preservation - **Lazenby**

No reports were given.

As there was no other business, the January 18, 2007, regular meeting of the Heber City Council adjourned at 10:10 p.m.

---

Paulette Thurber, City Recorder